

Juanita R. Brooks (CA SBN 75934) / brooks@fr.com  
Roger A. Denning (CA SBN 228998) / denning@fr.com  
Frank J. Albert (CA SBN 247741) / albert@fr.com  
Jared A. Smith (CA SBN 306576) / jasmith@fr.com  
Tyler R. Train (CA SBN 318998) / train@fr.com  
Elliot N. Scher (CA SBN 343705) / scher@fr.com  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
12860 El Camino Real, Suite 400  
San Diego, CA 92130  
Telephone: (858) 678-5070 / Fax: (858) 678-5099

Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
FINJAN LLC

Daralyn J. Durie (CA SBN 169825)  
DDurie@mofo.com  
Timothy Chen Saulsbury (CA SBN 281434)  
TSaulsbury@mofo.com  
Matthew I. Kreeger (CA SBN 153793)  
MKreeger@mofo.com  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
425 Market Street  
San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
Telephone: (415) 268-7000/Fax: (415) 268-7522

Attorneys for Defendant,  
PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.

*Additional counsel on signature page*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

FINJAN LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:14-cv-04908-RS

**JOINT STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF  
FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER**

Hon. Richard Seeborg  
Ctrm. 3, 17th Floor

1 WHEREAS, following the Court's Claim Construction Order (Dkt. 290), Plaintiff Finjan  
2 LLC ("Finjan") and Defendant Palo Alto Networks, Inc. ("PAN") (Finjan and PAN together "the  
3 Parties") stipulate to PAN's non-infringement of all asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154  
4 ("the '154 Patent");

5 WHEREAS, stipulating to PAN's non-infringement now will allow the Parties to forego  
6 further litigation in this Court as to the '154 Patent, while preserving Finjan's right to appeal the  
7 Court's Claim Construction Order as it relates to the '154 Patent (Dkt. 290);

8 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the Parties, subject to the approval of  
9 the Court, as follows:

10 1. Finjan filed this patent litigation action against PAN on November 4, 2014 (Dkt. 1).  
11 Finjan filed its Amended Complaint on March 31, 2021 (Dkt. 112). PAN filed its First Amended  
12 Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Finjan's Amended Complaint on April 14, 2021 (Dkt. 116).  
13 Finjan has asserted that PAN infringes claims 1, 2, and 4 of the '154 Patent ("Finjan's '154 Patent  
14 Claims"). PAN has asserted various defenses and counterclaims relating to the '154 Patent  
15 including counterclaims seeking declaratory judgments that the claims of the '154 Patent are  
16 invalid, not infringed, and unenforceable ("PAN's '154 Patent Defenses and Counterclaims").

17 2. Finjan has also asserted that PAN infringes certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos.  
18 7,418,731; 7,647,633; and 8,225,408, and PAN has asserted various defenses and counterclaims  
19 relating to these other claims ("Other Patent Claims").

20 3. The Parties disputed the construction of the terms "content processor" of claims 1  
21 and 2 of the '154 Patent, and "content" of claims 1, 2, and 4 of the '154 Patent. On June 14, 2024,  
22 this Court construed those claim terms. (Claim Construction Order, Dkt. 290). The Court  
23 construed "content" to mean "data or information which has been modified and is received over a  
24 network." (*Id.* at 15.) The Court construed "content processor" to mean "a processor that  
25 processes modified content." (*Id.*)

26 4. The Parties stipulate that Finjan cannot prove that PAN infringes claims 1, 2, and 4  
27 of the '154 Patent under the Court's constructions of "content processor" and "content" and that  
28 judgment of PAN's non-infringement of the '154 Patent be entered at such time that the Court

enters final judgment in this action, including final judgment on the Other Patent Claims. For the sake of clarity, the Parties agree that Finjan cannot appeal the claim constructions for the '154 Patent, or any other issues relating to Finjan's '154 Patent Claims, until final judgment is entered in this action, including final judgment on the Other Patent Claims.

5. Finjan reserves all rights to reassert Finjan's '154 Patent Claims in the event the judgment of non-infringement is remanded to the Court after appeal.

6. PAN reserves all rights in its defenses and counterclaims. PAN's '154 Patent Defenses and Counterclaims are dismissed without prejudice to the right to reassert them in the event the judgment of non-infringement is remanded to the Court after appeal.

Dated: July 11, 2024

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Roger A. Denning

Juanita R. Brooks (CA SBN 75934)

brooks@fr.com

Roger A. Denning (CA SBN 228998)

denning@fr.com

Frank J. Albert (CA SBN 247741)

albert@fr.com

Jared A. Smith (CA SBN 306576)

jasmith@fr.com

Tyler R. Train (CA SBN 318998)

train@fr.com

Elliot N. Scher (CA SBN 343705)

scher@fr.com

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

12860 El Camino Real, Suite 400

San Diego, CA 92130

Telephone: (858) 678-5070 / Fax: (858) 678-5099

Aamir Kazi (*Pro Hac Vice*)

kazi@fr.com

Lawrence Jarvis (*Pro Hac Vice*)

jarvis@fr.com

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

1180 Peachtree Street NE, 21st floor

Atlanta, GA 30309

Telephone: (404) 892-5005 / Fax: (404) 892-5002

Susan E. Morrison (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
morrison@fr.com  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
222 Delaware Avenue, 17th Floor  
P.O. Box 1114  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Telephone: (302) 652-5070 / Fax: (302) 652-0607

*Attorneys for Plaintiff FINJAN LLC*

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Timothy Chen Saulsbury

Daralyn J. Durie (CA SBN 169825)

DDurie@mofo.com

Timothy Chen Saulsbury (CA SBN 281434)

TSaulsbury@mofo.com

Matthew I. Kreeger (CA SBN 153793)

MKreeger@mofo.com

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

425 Market Street

San Francisco, California 94105-2482

Telephone: (415) 268-7000/Fax: (415) 268-7522

Michael J. DeStefano (*Pro Hac Vice*)

Mdestefano@mofo.com

Kyle W.K. Mooney (*Pro Hac Vice*)

KMooney@mofo.com

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

250 West 55th Street

New York, New York 10019-9601

Telephone: (212) 468-8000/Fax: (212) 468-7900

Rose S. Lee

RoseLee@mofo.com

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

707 Wilshire Boulevard

Los Angeles CA 90017-3543

Phone: (213) 892-5200/Fax: (213) 892-5454

Attorneys for Defendant

PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.

**ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 5-1(i)**

In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from any other signatory to this document.

/s/ Roger A. Denning  
Roger A. Denning

**ORDER**

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: 7/11/2024



Hon. Richard Seeborg  
United States Chief District Court Judge